

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/001,789

Applicant(s)

HSU, CHIEN-SHIH

Examiner

Anthony Q. Edwards

Art Unit

2835

All Participants:(1) Anthony Q. Edwards.(2) Bruce H. Truxell.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 September 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 anticipation for claims 1, 7 and 14

Claims discussed:

1, 7 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Patent No. 5,874,696 to Hayashi et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested an Examiner's Amendment to the claims to include the limitation of an "elastic" guiding block, which is not disclosed or obvious in view of the prior art. The applicant's attorney stated that the applicant is not in agreement with the Examiner's proposed amendment at this time. .